

## Lecture 8:

Theorem 8.1 (Euclid) There is an infinite number of primes.

Proof Suppose there are finite number of primes.

Let those primes be  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots, p_m$

Consider the positive integer

$$P = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdots p_m + 1$$

Since  $P > 1 \exists p$  prime such that  $p | P$ . But since the only primes are  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$ , therefore  $p$  must be equal to one of these.

Hence  $p | p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_m$

This gives us:  $p | P - p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_m$

$$\text{i.e. } p | 1$$

This is a contradiction and hence there cannot be finite number of primes.

Theorem 8.2 If  $p_m$  is the  $m$ th prime number then  $p_m \leq 2^{2^m-1}$

Proof We will use principle of mathematical induction.

For  $m=1$   $p_1 = 2 \leq 2^{2^1-1}$

Hence for  $m=1$ , the inequality is true.

Suppose the inequality is true for all integers upto  $m$ , i.e.

$$p_k \leq 2^{2^k-1} \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq m$$

Therefore.  $P_{m+1} \leq P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdots P_m + 1$

$$\leq 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdots 2^{2^{m-1}} + 1$$

$$= 2^{1+2+\cdots+2^{m-1}} + 1 = 2^{2^m-1} + 1$$

$$= 2^{2^m-1} + 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } P_{m+1} \leq 2^{2^m-1} + 1 \leq 2^{2^m-1} + 2^{2^m-1} = 2^{2^m}$$

Corollary 8.3 For  $m \geq 1$ , there are at least  $m+1$  primes less than  $2^{2^m}$ .

Lemma 8.4 The product of two or more integers of the form  $4m+1$  is of the same form.

Proof Sufficient to consider the product of two integers.

$$\text{Let } k = 4m+1 \text{ and } k' = 4n+1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } k \cdot k' &= (4m+1)(4n+1) = 16mn + 4m + 4n + 1 \\ &= 4(4mn + n + m) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8.5 There are an infinite number of primes of the form  $4m+3$ .

Proof Suppose there exists only finite number of primes of the form  $4m+3$ .

Say  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_s$ .

Consider the positive integer

N = 4q\_1 q\_2 \cdots q\_s - 1 = 4(q\_1 q\_2 \cdots q\_s - 1) + 3

Let the prime factorization of  $N$  be

$$N = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t$$

Note that  $N$  is odd ( $\text{Even} + 3$ ). Hence

$$q_k \neq 2 \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, t$$

Therefore each  $q_k$  is of the form  ~~$4k+1$~~   $4m+1$  or  $4m+3$ .

Since  $N$  is of the form  $4m+3$ , therefore at least one  $q_k$  is of the form  $4m+3$

[Otherwise  $N$  will be of the form  $4m+1$  (Lemma 8.4)]

Since  $q_k$  is of the form  $4m+3$ , it must be equal to one of the  $q_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, s$ ) which implies  $q_k | q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$

$$\Rightarrow q_k | (N - q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s)$$

$$\Rightarrow q_k | 1$$

This is a contradiction since  $q_k$  is a prime.

Hence there cannot be finite primes of the form  $4m+3$ .

(4)

Theorem 8.6 (Dirichlet) If  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime positive integers, then the arithmetic progression

$$a, a+b, a+2b, a+3b, \dots$$

contains infinitely many primes.